



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/572,179	03/16/2006	Jacques Bocquet	6003.1070	9842
23280	7590	12/11/2008	EXAMINER	
Davidson, Davidson & Kappel, LLC 485 7th Avenue 14th Floor New York, NY 10018			CHEN, YUAN L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2854	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/572,179 Examiner Yuan L. Chen	BOCQUET ET AL. Art Unit 2854

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Yuan L. Chen. (3) Leslie Evanisko.

(2) Jennifer L. O'Connell. (4) Judy Nguyen.

Date of Interview: 24 November 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: This pre-first Office Action interview addressed the 35 USC 112(2) issues in the limitations of Claim 1 of the Application filed on 3/16/2006. Agreement was made for The applicant's representative J. O'Connell to propose a revised version of Claim 1 to clarify these issues. The revised version is assumed to be what Applicant is intending to claim for the purposes of furthering prosecution and examination of the application.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.